## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA **CENTRAL DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

VS.

DANIEL FLORES-SANCHEZ,

Defendant.

No. CR07-3016-MWB

ORDER ACCEPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING **DEFENDANT'S GUILTY PLEA** 

## I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On March 22, 2007, an indictment was returned against defendant Daniel Flores-Sanchez, charging defendant with knowingly completing a false employment eligibility verification form, known as an I-9, to secure employment, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1546(b). On April 19, 2007, defendant appeared before Chief United States Magistrate Judge Paul A. Zoss and entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the indictment. On this same date, Chief Judge Zoss filed a Report and Recommendation in which he recommends that defendant's guilty plea be accepted. No objections to Chief Judge Zoss's Report and Recommendation were filed. The court, therefore, undertakes the necessary review of Chief Judge Zoss's recommendation to accept defendant's plea in this case.

## II. ANALYSIS

Pursuant to statute, this court's standard of review for a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation is as follows:

> A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the

findings or recommendations made by the magistrate [judge].

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Similarly, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) provides for review of a magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation on dispositive motions and

prisoner petitions, where objections are made, as follows:

The district judge to whom the case is assigned shall make a de novo determination upon the record, or after additional evidence, of any portion of the magistrate judge's disposition to which specific written objection has been made in accordance with this rule. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended decision, receive further evidence, or recommit the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In this case, no objections have been filed, and it appears to the court upon review of Chief Judge Zoss's findings and conclusions, that there is no ground to reject or modify them. Therefore, the court **accepts** Chief Judge Zoss's Report and Recommendation of April 19, 2007, and accepts defendant's plea of guilty in this case to Count 1 of the indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED** this 4th day of May, 2007.

MARK W. BENNETT

U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

Jack W. Berni